SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (FEDERAL AWARDS)

September 30, 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

September 30, 2012

	PAGE
REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS THAT COULD HAVE A DIRECT AND MATERIAL EFFECT ON EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133	1-2
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	3-5
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	6
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH	
GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	7-8
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS	9-12
SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS	13

Principals

Dale J. Abraham, CPA Michael T. Gaffney, CPA Steven R. Kirinovic, CPA Aaron M. Stevens, CPA Eric J. Glashouwer, CPA Alan D. Panter, CPA William I. Tucker IV, CPA



3511 Coolidge Road Suite 100 East Lansing, MI 48823 (517) 351-6836 FAX: (517) 351-6837

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS THAT COULD HAVE A DIRECT AND MATERIAL EFFECT ON EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

Board of Commissioners Isabella County, Michigan Mt. Pleasant, Michigan

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of Isabella County, Michigan with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on Isabella County, Michigan's major federal programs for the year ended September 30, 2012. Isabella County, Michigan's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its major federal programs is the responsibility of Isabella County, Michigan's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on Isabella County, Michigan's compliance based on our audit.

Isabella County's basic financial statements include the operations of the Central Michigan District Health Department, Isabella County Transportation Commission, and the Isabella County Road Commission, discretely presented component units, which received \$2,640,212, \$1,516,254, and \$816,382 in federal awards, respectively, which are not included in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards for the year ended September 30, 2012. Our audit did not include the operations of the Central Michigan District Health Department or Isabella County Transportation Commission discretely presented component units because the component units engaged us to perform separate audits in accordance with OMB Circular A-133. The Isabella County Road Commission did not have a single audit required because the Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) requires that road commissions report all Federal and State grants pertaining to their county. During the year ended September 30, 2012, the Federal aid received and expended by the Road Commission was \$816,382 for contracted projects and \$0 for negotiated projects. Contracted projects are defined as projects performed by private contractors paid for and administrated by MDOT. The contracted Federal projects are not subject to single audit requirements by the road commissions, as they are included in MDOT's single audit. Negotiated projects are defined as projects performed by Road Commission employees or private contractors paid for and administered by the Road Commission.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Nonprofit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Isabella County, Michigan's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on Isabella County, Michigan's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, Isabella County, Michigan complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its major federal programs for the year ended September 30, 2012.

Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of Isabella County, Michigan is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered Isabella County, Michigan's internal control over compliance with the requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of Isabella County, Michigan's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Isabella County, Michigan as of and for the year ended September 30, 2012, and have issued our report thereon dated March 29, 2013, which contained unqualified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements as a whole. We did not audit the financial statements of the Isabella County Road Commission, which represents 67% and 35%, respectively, of the total assets and revenues of the component units and the Medical Care Facility which represents 65% and 84%, respectively, of the total assets and revenues of the business-type activities. Those financial statements were audited by other auditors whose reports thereon have been furnished to us, and our opinions, insofar as they relate to the amounts included for the Road Commission and Medical Care Facility, are based solely on the reports of the other auditors.

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for the purpose of additional analysis as required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133 *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*, and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and the Board of Commissioners of Isabella County, Michigan, others within the entity, the federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

ABRAHAM & GAFFNEY, P.C. Certified Public Accountants

abeham! Saffny, P.C.

March 29, 2013

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

Year Ended September 30, 2012

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through CFI		Pass-Through Grantors	Current Year			
Grantor/Program Title	Number	Number	Revenues	Expenditures		
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Passed through Michigan State Police Public Safety Interoperable Communications Grant (c)	11.555	2007-GS-H7-0043	\$ 17,047	\$ 17,047		
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT Passed through Michigan State Housing Development Authority Community Development Block Grant	14.228	MSC-2010-5824-HOA	113,854	113,854		
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION Passed through Michigan State Police Hazardous Materials Emergency Preparedness Grant	20.703	N/A	1,000	1,000		
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Passed through Michigan Department of Human Services Child Support Enforcement (Title IV-D) Cooperative Reimbursement (a)(c)	93.563					
Friend of the Court Prosecuting Attorney Title IV-D Incentive Payments (b)(c)		CS/FOC-10-37001 CS/PA-10-37002	298,435 19,320	298,435 19,320		
2012 Regular		N/A	130,762	130,762		
			448,517	448,517		
Passed through Region VII Area Agency on Aging Special Programs for the Aging						
Title III, Part B ^(d) Case Coordination and Support Personal Care Homemaker Respite POS CM Homemaking	93.044	N/A	31,093 4,621 8,188 1,487 513	31,093 4,621 8,188 1,487 513		
	2		45,902	45,902		

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS - CONTINUED

Year Ended September 30, 2012

Foderal Cranter/Deep Through	CFDA	Pass-Through Grantors	Current Year			
Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES - CONCLUDED Passed through Region VII Area Agency on Aging - concluded Special Programs for the Aging - concluded Title III, Part C-1 (d) Congregate Meals Home Delivered Meals	Number 93.045	Number	Revenues		Expenditures	
		N/A	\$ 67,960 69,410	-	67,960 69,416	
			137,376	3	137,376	
Title III, Part E Caregiver Training Supplemental Funds Kinship Care Funds Food for Kinship	93.052	N/A	14,989 2,458 1,02 500	3 7	14,989 2,458 1,027 500	
Note: the Construction Decrease (NOID) (d)	00.050	A.//A	18,974	1	18,974	
Nutrition Services Incentive Program (NSIP) ^(d) Congregate Meals Home Delivered Meals	93.053	N/A	26,410 45,428		26,416 45,428	
			71,844	1	71,844	
Medical Assistance Program	93.778	N/A	19,950)	19,950	
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) Research, Demonstrations and Evaluations	93.779	N/A	2,109	<u> </u>	2,109	
Total passed through Region VII Area Agency on Aging			296,15	<u> </u>	296,155	
TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES			744,672	2	744,672	

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS - CONCLUDED

Year Ended September 30, 2012

		Pass-Through				
Federal Grantor/Pass-Through	CFDA	Grantors	Current Year		ır	
Grantor/Program Title	Number	Number	Revenues		Expenditures	
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY Passed through Michigan Department of Natural Resources 2010 Marine Safety Grant	97.012	N/A	\$	1,852	\$	1,852
Passed through Michigan State Police 2012 Emergency Management Performance Grant	97.042	N/A		6,750		6,750
Passed through West Michigan Shoreline Regional Development Commission						
2008 Homeland Security Grant 2009 Homeland Security Grant 2010 Homeland Security Grant	97.067	N/A		1,255 22,179 10,111		1,255 22,179 10,111
				33,545		33,545
TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY				42,147		42,147
TOTAL FEDERAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE			\$	918,720	\$	918,720

NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

Year Ended September 30, 2012

NOTE A: BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards includes the Federal grant activity of Isabella County, Michigan and is presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Nonprofit Organizations*. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in or used in the preparation of the basic financial statements, which are reconciled in Note C below.

NOTE B: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT EXPLANATIONS OF SCHEDULE

The following descriptions identified below as (a) - (d) represent explanations that cross reference to amounts on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards:

- (a) Reimbursements of these contracts are passed through the State Department of Human Services (DHS). The amounts reported on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards represent the Federal portion of the respective amounts based on 66% of Title IV-D eligible expenditures for the applicable grants. The entire amount paid by DHS for the reimbursed expenditures is considered Federal.
- (b) The reimbursements for the IV-D Incentive Payments Program are based on support payments collected. Expenditures have been reported to the extent of earned revenues and are 100% Federal.
- (c) Denotes program tested as a "major program".
- (d) Program considered a cluster by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

NOTE C: RECONCILIATION TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

The following reconciles the federal revenues reported in the September 30, 2012 basic financial statements to the expenditures of the County administered federal programs reported on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards:

	Federal/ State	Less State/Local	Federal Award		
	Revenue Revenue		Expenditures		
PRIMARY GOVERNMENT					
GENERAL FUND					
Homeland Security	\$ 41,295	\$ -	\$ 41,295		
Prosecutor - CRP	19,320	-	19,320		
Marine safety grant	1,852	-	1,852		
Other Programs	2,602,127	-0-			
-		<u>-</u> _			
TOTAL GENERAL FUND	2,664,594	(2,602,127)	62,467		
CDBG HOUSING ASSISTANCE GRANT	113,854	-	113,854		
COMMISSION ON AGING ACTIVITIES	296,155	-	296,155		
NONMAJOR SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS					
Friend of the Court	429,197	-	429,197		
E-911	17,047		17,047		
TOTAL NONMAJOR SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS	446,244	-0-	446,244		
TOTAL	\$3,520,847	\$ (2,602,127)	\$ 918,720		

Principals

Dale J. Abraham, CPA Michael T. Gaffney, CPA Steven R. Kirinovic, CPA Aaron M. Stevens, CPA Eric J. Glashouwer, CPA Alan D. Panter, CPA William I. Tucker IV, CPA



3511 Coolidge Road Suite 100 East Lansing, MI 48823 (517) 351-6836 FAX: (517) 351-6837

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Commissioners Isabella County, Michigan Mt. Pleasant, Michigan

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Isabella County, Michigan as of and for the year ended September 30, 2012, which collectively comprise Isabella County's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated March 29, 2013. We did not audit the financial statements of the Isabella County Road Commission, which represents 67% and 35%, respectively of the total assets and revenues of the component units and the Medical Care Facility which represents 65% and 84%, respectively of the total assets and revenues of the business-type activities. Those financial statements were audited by other auditors whose reports thereon have been furnished to us, and our opinions, insofar as they relate to the amounts included for the Road Commission and Medical Care Facility, are based solely on the reports of the other auditors. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of Isabella County, Michigan, is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting. In planning and performing our audit, we considered Isabella County's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses and therefore, there can be no assurance that all deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses have been identified. In addition, because of inherent limitations in internal control, including the possibility of management override of controls, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected by such controls. However, as described in the accompanying schedule of findings, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be a material weakness.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying schedule of findings as 2009-1 to be a material weakness.

A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Isabella County's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings as items 2010-2 and 2012-1.

Isabella County's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings. We did not audit Isabella County's responses and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

This report is intended for the information and use of management and the Board of Commissioners of Isabella County, Michigan, others within the entity, the federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

ABRAHAM & GAFFNEY, P.C. Certified Public Accountants

abaham : Haffny, P.C.

March 29, 2013

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS

Year Ended September 30, 2012

Section I - Summary of Auditor's Results Financial Statements Unqualified Type of auditor's report issued: Internal control over financial reporting: Material weakness(es) identified? X___ Yes _____ No Significant deficiencies identified that are not Yes X None reported considered to be material weakness(es)? X Yes No Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? Federal Awards Internal control over major programs: Material weakness(es) identified? Yes X No Significant deficiencies identified that are not Yes X None reported considered to be material weakness(es)? Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs: Unqualified Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported with Section 510(a) of Circular A-133? Yes X No Identification of major programs: CFDA Number(s) Name of Federal Program or Cluster Public Safety Interoperable Communications Grant 11.555 93.563 Child Support Enforcement (Title IV-D) Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs: \$ 300,000 Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? _____ Yes <u>X</u> No Section II - Financial Statement Findings

2009-1 REPEAT AUDIT COMMENTS

<u>Condition</u>: During our review of internal controls at the Sheriff's Department, the Trial Court, Drain Office, Recycling Center, Commission on Aging, and Register of Deeds, we noted several opportunities to strengthen internal control and segregate duties in the cash receipting and disbursement procedures. These issues were noted and reported in our prior year audit comments. Specifically, we noted:

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS - CONTINUED

Year Ended September 30, 2012

Section II - Financial Statement Findings - Continued

2009-1 REPEAT AUDIT COMMENTS - CONTINUED

Sheriff's Department:

- a. The cashiers do not maintain separate cash drawers.
- b. There is no log maintained of receipts received in the mail.
- c. Source documentation is not retained to support receipts.
- d. Any of the office staff, clerks, and corrections officers has the capability to receipt money and void receipts. Additionally, all of the clerks and corrections officers are authorized check signers for the Inmate Trust Account.
- e. The disbursements made from the Inmate Trust account are not reviewed prior to checks being prepared.
- f. The Department does not reconcile amounts due to individual inmates per the computer system to amounts held in the related bank account.

Trial Court:

- a. The cashiers do not maintain separate cash drawers, with access restricted to the assigned employee.
- b. There is no log maintained of receipts received in the mail.
- c. Source documentation is not retained to support receipts.
- d. The employee who reconciles the bank account is not independent of the cash receipting and disbursements process.

Drain Office:

- a. The cashiers do not maintain separate cash drawers.
- b. All department employees are authorized to receipt money.

Recycling Center:

a. Administrative assistant opens the mail, performs cash receipting, and has access to the electronic records.

Register of Deeds:

a. The cashiers do not maintain separate cash drawers.

<u>Criteria</u>: The intent of internal control is to assure that no one individual is able to control all aspects of a transaction cycle (i.e. receipts, disbursements, etc.). The controls applicable to the Trial Court are required by the State of Michigan.

<u>Cause</u>: Management believes that the recommended controls would be too costly or cumbersome to implement.

<u>Effect</u>: The Sheriff's Department, Trial Court, Drain Office, Recycling Center, and Register of Deeds are susceptible to fraudulent transactions as the internal controls and segregation of duties in these areas is inadequate. The Trial Court is also not in compliance with applicable sections of the Michigan Court Administration Reference Guide.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the departments evaluate all aspects of their accounting functions and consider taking action to correct the above deficiencies.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS - CONTINUED

Year Ended September 30, 2012

Section II - Financial Statement Findings - Continued

2009-1 REPEAT AUDIT COMMENTS - CONCLUDED

<u>Corrective Action Response</u>: Management has reviewed the recommendations of the auditors and will work with the departments in evaluating where cash controls can be strengthened beginning with increasing the amount of cash drawers where feasible. Where budget restrictions and staffing levels limit the segregation of duties, management will look to increase control though properly maintained cash receipt logs and retention of support documentation. It is the intention of management to take the necessary steps to communicate to all cash handling employees the County's commitment to maintaining an environment of strong and cost effective internal controls. Management recognizes that an increased risk of fraud exists due to these deficiencies.

2010-2 OUTSTANDING CHECKS NEED TO BE ESCHEATED

<u>Condition</u>: During our review of the General Fund, and Inmate Trust bank accounts, we noted that several of the outstanding checks were old and still outstanding. This issue was noted and reported in our prior year audit comments.

<u>Criteria</u>: Michigan Public Act 29 of 1995 provides that the County report and escheat unclaimed property to the State of Michigan. Michigan Department of Treasury Manual for Reporting Unclaimed Property (Revised April, 2012) also gives guidance on this subject.

<u>Cause</u>: It appears that management has not communicated to the various responsible employees that compliance with the escheats law is necessary.

Effect: The County is not in compliance with Public Act 29 of 1995.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that outstanding checks be reviewed on an annual basis and any checks that are outstanding for more than one year be appropriately escheated to the State of Michigan unless an outstanding check can be reissued to the payee.

<u>Corrective Action Response</u>: Management will review the outstanding checks with the departments listed and determine if the checks can be reissued to the payee or should be escheated to the State of Michigan. Future escheatments will be reviewed and handled appropriately.

2012-1 UNFAVORABLE BUDGET VARIANCES

<u>Condition</u>: During our review of the County's compliance with the budgeting act, we noted that expenditures had exceeded the amounts appropriated for various activities in the General Fund, and five (5) special revenue funds. In addition, the County budgeted for a deficit fund balance in one (1) Special Revenue Fund. A similar issue was noted and reported in our prior year audit comments.

<u>Criteria</u>: The Uniform Budgeting and Accounting Act requires the County to amend the original adopted budget "as soon as it becomes apparent that a deviation from the original general appropriations act is necessary and the amount of the deviation can be determined". The Act also states that "an administrative officer of the local unit shall not incur expenditures against an appropriation account in excess of the amount appropriated by the legislative body".

<u>Cause</u>: The County did not effectively monitor expenditures against adopted budgets and make appropriate budget amendments as needed.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS - CONCLUDED

Year Ended September 30, 2012

Section II - Financial Statement Findings - Concluded

2012-1 UNFAVORABLE BUDGET VARIANCES - CONCLUDED

<u>Effect</u>: The County adopted the budget for the General Fund at the department level and the Special Revenue funds at the total expenditure level. Having unfavorable budget variances and budgets with deficit fund balances as described above, the County is not in compliance with Public Act 621 of 1978, as amended.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend the County monitor expenditures against adopted budgets and make appropriate budget amendments as needed.

<u>Corrective Action Response</u>: The Uniform Budgeting and Accounting Act requires the County to amend its original budget when "it appears to the chief administrative officer or to the legislative body that the actual and probable revenues from taxes and other sources in a fund are less than the estimated revenues" (MCL 141.437(2)). Funding schedules from sources such as the State of Michigan are not reliable, thereby making it impossible to know whether funds from these sources will be provided before the close of a given fiscal year.

Budgets are reviewed and monitored by the Administration office throughout the year. Projections for final year expenses are made in September of each fiscal year and the budget is adjusted accordingly. Occasionally, final expenses are not known until well after the end of the fiscal year and result in overages. The 2012 expenditure budget overages were minimal in comparison to the entire budget.

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

None noted.

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS

Year Ended September 30, 2012

FINDINGS/NONCOMPLIANCE

Control Deficiencies and Material Weaknesses Related to Internal Controls Over the Financial Statements.

2009-1 REPEAT AUDIT COMMENTS

<u>Condition</u>: During our review of internal controls at the Sheriff's Department, the Trial Court, Drain Office, Recycling Center, Commission on Aging, and the Register of Deeds, we noted several opportunities to strengthen internal control and segregate duties in the cash receipting and disbursement procedures.

<u>Resolution</u>: While some changes were made to incorporate the recommended internal controls at the Sheriff's Department, Recycling Center, Commission on Aging, and Register of Deeds, there are still several areas where no changes were made. We do not consider this issue to be resolved.

2011-2 PREPARATION OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH GAAP

<u>Condition</u>: The County required the assistance of independent auditors to prepare its financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP).

<u>Resolution</u>: We noted that the Director of Accounting Services obtained training in the current year to enhance her familiarity with GAAP. We consider this issue to be resolved.

2011-3 MATERIAL JOURNAL ENTRIES PROPOSED BY AUDITORS

<u>Condition</u>: Material journal entries were proposed by the auditors to properly account for various financial statement amounts. These misstatements were not detected by the County's internal control over financial reporting.

<u>Resolution</u>: No material journal entries were noted by the auditors in the current year. We consider this issue to be resolved.

Findings Related to Compliance with Requirements Applicable to the Financial Statements.

2010-2 OUTSTANDING CHECKS NEED TO BE ESCHEATED

<u>Condition</u>: During our review of the General Fund, Sheriff's Department, Flex Spending, and Inmate Trust bank accounts, we noted that several of the outstanding checks were old and still outstanding.

<u>Resolution</u>: During the current year, we noted that many checks had been escheated. However, there are still checks outstanding that should be escheated. We do not consider this issue to be resolved.

<u>Findings Related to Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Federal Awards and Internal Control Over</u> Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

None noted.